## COLORADO FIELD ORNITHOLOGISTS

42-72-10

accepted 5/17/2 VERIFYING DOCUMENTATION OF AN UNUSUAL RECORD 1. Species : CAROLINA WREN Thryothorus Ludotcianus 2. Number: (Vernacular Name) (Scientific Name) 3. Location: 1041 Field St., Lakewood, Colo. 80215 land neighboring yards 4. Date(s): Dec. 11, 1972 5. Time bird seen; 9:00 c.m to late aftermom 6. Description of size, shape and color-pattern. (Describe in great detail all parts of the plunage including beak and feet coloration in addition to the diagnostic characteristics; however, include only what actually was seen in the field): Sarge fairly long. Tailed when with typical when shape postione and behavior upper parte uniform reddich brown from basi of biel to tip of tail : crown and back unmarked, tail with fine transverse clack bars; conspections white superciliary live ! throat white; breast and belly bright buff. 7. Description of voice, if heard: Soud call recognized as different from B. Description of bohavior 8. Description of behavior: See ore 9. Habitat-general: Suburband residential are with many trees + bushes Specific: 20 If and less in everynen and de a duran plants; a brid for de Similarly appearing species which are eliminated 6, 7 and 8 above; Explain: Bench's Whe has white underparts and white in tail; Rock when grager with steals in beau and high in tail Werd When's have black & white strake in that 11. Distance (how measured?): As little as 10 fee? estimated. 12. Optical equipment: 7×35 and 10×50 binochlars 13. Light (sky, light on bird, position of sun in relation to bird and observer): Acod light, sur back of observers 14. Previous experience with this species and similarly appearing species: Carolin When spen many times in Teras + SE states, especially around Workington, DC. when me land 15. What made you think this species was unusual or rare? Caroline When a "nare visiter" in Cold. Acc. Buley + Niede all'I'd and as "cesual "in the Deriver area by Holt (1969) 16. Other observers! Joyne & Coope. Jein San, Son Smith, Steve Sarsen, Patty Echelmeren and unknum other after we left Saleurod 6: A a.m. Doc. 12 17. Did others agree with your identification? All listed serve agreed 18. Other observers who independently identified this bird: So far and I know all known who saw the hid did so as a result of our plane calls of the hid alert thank 19. Books, illustrations and advice consulted and how did these influence this docu- followed mentation: Common field guides, Bailey + niedrad (1967), Holt (1969) Thes ded not sufficience docementation in any significant using 20. If bird was photographed, please attach pictures and describe equipment used: erpen\_\_\_\_ Street Address: 1041 Feeld St. Signature \_\_ City and State: Sabernal Colo. 80215 Date Prepared: 973 (USE THE REVERSE, OR ADDITIONAL PACES, IF NECESSARY)

8. About 9:00 a.m., Dec. 11, my unfe joyce was using un telephone and spotled the bird through a picture mindain on the ground about 10 feet away beneath (south side 3) a pfitzer bush - one of the very few places in our yard then free & sum. She called to me and we observed the bird for about 5 minutes. In awhile the bid would hop about constantly with tail hald high in typical uner fashion and peck at the ground; then it would dirappear up into the third branches and foliage of the pfitzer This procedure was repealed several times before it flew away. Sater the same day Joyce heard unfamiliar cell notes and we located the same bird about to feet up a neighbor's bare deciduous tree. We traced this kind through several other yords until it disappeared in the Haney yard at 10th and hele (8995 War 10th). We then plioned fin Same who came up and confirmed our identification. We all had an excellent men gall feature g the mid while it was in top of the Haneip abound oned brick incenerator now used as a bid fasain); Stell later same dag bid sien by Jein Steve Barsen, Sarry Smith and Pathy Echelmeyer.

COLORADO FIELD ORNITHOLOGISTS 42-72-10
VERIFYING DOCUMENTATION OF AN UNUSUAL RECORD
1. Species: <u>Carolina Wren</u> (Vernacular Name) <u>Thryothorus ludovicianus</u> 2. Number:
3. Location: Vicinity of 10th and Field Streets in Lakewood
4. Date(s): Dec. 11 & 12th, 1972 5. Time bird seen: 9:00 a.m. to 9:15 a.m. 1:45 to 1:50
<ul> <li>6. Description of size, shape and color-pattern. (Describe in great detail all parts of the plumage including beak and feet coloration in addition to the diagnostic characteristics; however, include only what actually was seen in the field): The bird was at once identifiable as a wren by its rather short perky tail, which was held upright in a typical wren fashion, and by its habit of hopping around from twig to twig in a quick nervous manner. It was considerably larger than a House Wren, but not nearly as large as a Cactus Wren. The most promilent feaures were a strong, whiteish eyeline and its rich, buffy brown coloration. The backwand tail were a rich, dark brown. The belly and breast were also rich brown, but of a lighter shade. This coloration of the throat was not an outstanding (over)</li> <li>7. Description of voice, if heard: (over)</li> <li>8. Description of behavior: It hopped around in a quick, nervous fashion with its tail held (over)</li> </ul>
9. Habitatgeneral: The bird spent most of its time concealed in the (over)
specific: 10. Similarly appearing species which are eliminated 6, 7 and 8 above; Explain: Over
<ol> <li>Distance (how measured?): It was best obsrved at about 100 feet, altough (over 2. Optical equipment: 7X50 Bushnell</li> <li>Light (sky, light on bird, position of sum in relation to bird and observer): Seen in various lights, but best observed for about 15 minutes when it</li> <li>Previous experience with this species and similarly appearing species: I spent last winter in East Texas where the species is common, and I</li> <li>What made you think this species was unusual or rare? Personal knowledge and Harold Holt's Checklist</li> <li>Other observers: John and Joyce Cooper, Larry Smith, Steve Larson.</li> </ol>
17. Did others agree with your identification? Yes.
<ul> <li>18. Other observers who independently identified this bird:</li> <li>Joh and Joyce Copper first identified the bird.</li> <li>19. Books, illustrations and advice consulted and how did these influence this documentation:</li> <li>None, I am quite familiar with this bird.</li> </ul>
20. If pird was photographed, please attach pictures and describe equipment used:
- Kan 2 Street Address: Box 18449
Signature Date Frepared: Dec. 20, 1972 City and State: Denver, CO 80218
(USE THE RÉVERSE, OR ADDITIONAL PACES, IF NECESSARY)

- 6. con't feature. The feet and bill were brownish and not outstanding color.
- 7. similar to that made by a House Wren onlylouder and deeper. The other sound was almost a whisper song of little warbled notes, quite unlike its usual loud ring call.

up straight above the back.

8.

9. thick branches of a Phitzer Juniper and other dense shrubery in the neighborhood. Occasionally it flew up into a bare tree, but only stayed for a few seconds and then dove back into the thickets.

- 10. The bird was larger than a Bewick's Wren and much browner. It had a promenient eyeline, which would exclude the House Wren or Winter Wren. It did not have the white stripes on the back like a Long-billed Marsh Wren. It most resembled a Canyon Wren as it was about the same size and a rich brown; however, its throat had only a small white area, quite unlike the large, well-defined white patch on the Canyon Wren.
- 11. at one time it was closer than 20 feet as I could not focus my binoculars on it.
- 13. hopped back and forth to a feeder on top of a brick trash burner. The sky was clear and the light was good with the sun behind me.

14 I saw it nearly everytime I went afield, which was frequently.