| COLORADO FIELD ORNITHOLOGISTS SIGHT RECORD FORM RESULTS: 2001-014 2001-86 |
|---|
| PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE. ATTACH PHOTOGRAPHS AND ADDITIONAL PAGES IF NECESSARY. |
| SPECIES: CAROLINA WREN Thryothorus ludovicianus (SCIENTIFIC NAME) |
| DATE(S) OF OCCURRENCE: DECEMBER 24, 2000 |
| NUMBER OF BIRD'S SEEN: SEX: PLUMAGE: ADULT BASIC |
| EXACT LOCATION: 906 W. CHEYENNE ROAD |
| COUNTY: EL PASO NEAREST TOWN: COLORADO SPRINGS ELEVATION: |
| LENGTH OF OBSERVATION: 2 MIN. TIMES(S) OF OBSERVATION: BETWEEN 3:00 AND 3:15 pm |
| OTHER OBSERVERS WHO INDEPENDENTLY SAW THE BIRD(S): MARCHA CURRY, BEN SORENSON, AND HOMEOWNER GEORGIAN WINDERLI LIGHT CONDITIONS [QUALITY; DIRECTION RELATIVE TO BIRD(S); POSITION]: IT WAS PARTLY CLOUDY. THE SUN WAS GETTING LOW NEAR THE MOUNTAINS AND CAME FROM BEHIND THE HOUSE, WHICH FACED SOUTH. THE FEEDERS WERE LOCATED IN FRONT OF THE HOUSE ON THE WEST SIDE. |
| 10 X42 BAUSCH AND LOMB BINDCULARS |
| DISTANCE FROM BIRD (ESTIMATED UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED): APPROXIMATELY 25 FEET, PLUS FURTHER WAS THE BIRD PHOTOGRAPHED: UNKNOWN IF SO, HAVE YOU SUBMITTED PHOTOS? NO |
| WHO TOOK THE PHOTOGRAPHS? |
| DESCRIBE THE GENERAL AND SPECIFIC HABITAT IN WHICH THE BIRD(S) OCCURRED: HABITAT WAS A SUBURBAN YARD IN AN OLD ESTABLISHED NEIGHBORHOOD. HOMEOWNER HAD FEEDERS SET UP IN A WILDFLOWER GARDEN, ALONG WITH A WATER DISH. THERE WAS A SMALL CREEK/DRAINAGE DITCH RUNNING THROUGH THE YARD NEAR THE DESCRIBE THE BIRD'S BEHAVIOR (FLYING; FEEDING; HABITS; BEHAVIORS USED IN IDENTIFYING FEEDERS, THE SPECIES OR IN SUPPORTING THE IDENTIFICATION): |
| WHEN FIRST SEEN, THE BIRD WAS IN A SMALL DECIDUOUS TREE, AND WAS FURACING. PORNG IN NOOKS AND CRANNIES IN THE BARK IT THEN |

CFO-RC FILE #:

WHEN FIRST SEEN, THE BIRD WAS IN A SMALL DECIDUOUS TREE, AND WAS FORAGING. PORNG IN NOOKS AND CRANNIES IN THE BARK. IT THEN FLEW TO A BRANCH CLOSER TO THE FEEDERS, AND PAUSED MOMENTARILY, AND THEN DROPPED INTO A LOW BUSH. IT BRIEFLY MOVED AROUND IN THE BUSH, AND WITH A FEW SHORT FLIGHTS AND QUICK MOVES WENT INTO THE STREAM/CREEK AND AREA AND OUT OF SIGHT. I FELT I HAD HAD A NICE LOOK, AND LEFT.

DESCRIBE THE BIRD IN DETAIL. THIS IS THE MOST IMPORTANT PART OF THIS REPORT. INCLUDE OVERALL SIZE AND SHAPE, AND HOW YOU PLACED THE BIRD IN THE GENERAL GROUP THAT YOU DID. GIVE COMPLETE DETAILS OF PLUMAGE, INCLUDING HEAD, THROAT, UNDERSIDES, NAPE, BACK, WINGS, AND TAIL. GIVE PARTICULAR EMPHASIS TO MARKS YOU USED TO IDENTIFY THE BIRD(S). DESCRIBE OTHER DETAILS, SUCH AS COLOR AND SHAPE OF BILL, LEGS, EYE, ETC. THAT WERE IMPORTANT IN MAKING THE IDENTIFICATION. (FILL OUT THIS PORTION EVEN IF PHOTOGRAPHS ARE SUBMITTED; YOU MAY ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS, DRAWINGS, FIELD SKETCHES, OR COPY OF FIELD NOTES):

THIS BIRD WAS A LITTLE SMALLER THAN NEARY JUNCOS, BUT WITH CHUNKIER BODY SHAPE. IT WOULD COCK IT'S TAIL UPRIGHT WHILE OVING ALONG, AND IT'S MOVEMENTS WERE QUICK. IT ALSO MOVED IN SO OUT OF COVER, AND INVESTIGATED TIGHT SPOTS IN THE BUSH, ETC. IT'S BILL WHS THIN AND POINTED WITH A DE-CURVE. THE CROWN, HIND ECK AND BACK A BRIGHT RUFOUS BROWN - VERY DISTINCTIVE AMONG THE NOS AND HOUSE FINCHES PRESENT. IT HAD A LONG WHITE SUPERCILLIUM ABOVE STEWDING PASSED THE EYE. THE THROAT WAS WHITE, AND THE BREAST SO BELLY BRIGHT BUFF. THE TAIL WAS HELD TIGHTLY TOGETHER, AND DID IT FAN THE FEATHERS. IT PREQUENTLY COCKED THE TAIL MORE UPRIGHT IT QUICKLY MOVED AROUND. THE BIRD DID NOT VOCALISE DURING

DUE TO THE BIRD'S BEHAVIOR, POSTURING, ETC., IT WAS EASY) CONCLUDE IT WAS A WREN. IT'S BRIGHT COLORATION AND LONG WHITE PERCILIUM MAKE IT FAIRLY DISTINCTIVE WITHIN THE SPECIES GROUP.

DISCUSS SIMILAR SPECIES AND HOW YOU ELIMINATED THEM:
COULD POSSIBLY BE CONFUSED WITH BEWICK'S WREN, AS BOTH HAVE A LONG
HITE SUPERCILIUM. BUT, CAROLINA IS MORE BRIGHTLY COLORIED, HAS A BRIGHT
IFF CHEST AND BELLY, AND THE BEWICK'S IS WHITE-PALE GRAY. BEWICK'S ALSO
JEMS LONGER TAILED.
WHAT IS YOUR PRIOR EXPERIENCE WITH THIS AND SIMILARLY APPEARING SPECIES?

I HAVE SEEN THE SPECIES NUMEROUS TIMES OVER MANY YEARS IN

HO, DELAWARE, MARYLAND, VIRGINIA, NORTH CAROLINA, TEXAS, MINNESOTA,

HO POSSIBLY A COUPLE OTHER STATES. I AM VERY FAMILIAR WITH THIS

LIST BOOKS, GUIDES, RECORDINGS, OR OTHER SOURCES CONSULTED AND HOW SPECIES

THIS INFLUENCED YOUR IDENTIFICATION (DURING AND AFTER OBSERVATION):

NO FIELD GUIDES WERE NECESSARY FOR THIS BIRD

| THIS REPORT WAS MADE FROM NOTES MADE DURING OBSERVATION, OR LATER FROM MEMORY | OR AFTER |
|---|----------|
| | |
| DATE OF WRITTEN PEPORT : 3/22/2001 | |

REPORTING OBSERVER: ANDREW SMITH SIGNATURE WALLE THE